UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,842	01/22/2004	Shigeru Suzuki	TIC-0057	5882
23377 WOODCOCK	7590 05/11/2007 WASHBURN LLP		EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTR	E, 12TH FLOOR		DWIVEDI, VIKANSHA S	
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/762,842	SUZUKI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	,	Vikansha S. Dwivedi	3746		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status			•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>1/22/2004-10/18/2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10-13,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/18/04, 8/4/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/762,842

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Applicant claims "a maximum tolerance is 10%" in claim 6 and in claim 7 "the maximum tolerance is 5%". If the maximum tolerance is 5% then it cannot be 10%.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2, 10, 11, 16 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The applicant does not reasonably provide enablement for what applicant considers to be the facewidth. There are three different kinds of facewidth known in the art and applicant not described his/her claimed facewidth in a way that makes clear what it pertains to:

Application/Control Number: 10/762,842

Art Unit: 3746

I. The length of teeth in the axial plane.

II. The width of the face that may actually come in contact with the mating teeth.

III. The width of the pitch surface containing both the helices and the groove width.

For examination purposes it is considered that facewidth is the length of teeth in the axial plane.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 464,877 (to Ralph Ponting).

Ponting discloses a multistage gear pump (Page 1, II. 7-10) for pressurizing fluid, comprising: a housing (inherent feature, casing); a drive shaft (21) rotatably supported in the housing (Page 2, II. 80-81); and a gear assembly (Shown in Figure 2) disposed in the housing (casing), the gear assembly including at least first and second gear trains (two or more stages rotary pump), each train having a pair of drive (10) and driven (11) gears that are engaged with each other, the drive gear (10) being provided on the drive shaft 21) and followed by the driven gear (11), the first gear train and the second gear

Application/Control Number: 10/762,842 Page 4

Art Unit: 3746

train being arranged so that fluid sequentially passes therethrough as the drive shaft (21)rotates, wherein a theoretical discharge capacity of the first gear train is larger than that of the second gear train (page 2, II. 88-96); wherein the first gear train has a first facewidth and the second gear train has a second facewidth that is smaller than the first facewidth (not numbered but clearly shown in figure 2), thereby the theoretical discharge capacity of the second gear train is set to be smaller than that of the first gear train; wherein the theoretical discharge capacity of the second gear train is set to be equal to an actual discharge capacity of the first gear train by considering a leak rate of the fluid (Page 2, II. 98-109); wherein the theoretical discharge capacity of the second gear train is equal to the actual discharge capacity of the first gear train with a slight tolerance (Page 2, II. 98-Page 3, II.12); wherein a maximum tolerance is 10 percentage of the theoretical discharge capacity of the first gear train (Page 1, II. 63-65); wherein a valve means is provided on a passage that interconnects the second gear train with the first gear train, the valve means opening so as to discharge the fluid in the passage by bypassing the second gear train when a pressure of the fluid in the passage exceeding a predetermined pressure (Page 2, II. 110-Page 3, II. 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3746

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Obviousness of Ranges

As explained above claim 4 and 7 are obvious over Ponting. Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation.

See MPEP 2144.05 (Obviousness of Ranges)

Material Pumped is Insignificant

With regard to claim 12 and 13 a recitation with respect to the material intended to be worked upon by a claimed apparatus does not impose any structural limitations upon the claimed apparatus which differentiates it from the prior art apparatus satisfying the structural limitations of the claims, as is the case here.

See MPEP 2115 [R-2]

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14 and 15 are allowed.

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10, 11, 16 and 17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art does not teach in combination with the other limitation of the

Art Unit: 3746

independent claim that one of the driven gears is formed with the driven shaft so as to rotate integrally with the driven shaft, the rest of the driven gears being assembled to the driven shaft so as to rotate relative to the driven shaft.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikansha S. Dwivedi whose telephone number is 571-272-7834. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700